1	BEFORE THE				
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION				
3					
	IN THE MATTER OF:)				
4)				
	MILLENNIUM 2000, INC.,)				
5	Applicant,)				
)				
6	Application for)				
	designation as a wireless) No. 12-0375				
7	eligible)				
	telecommunications carrier)				
8	for purposes of receiving)				
	federal universal service)				
9	support pursuant to)				
	Section 214(E)(2) of the)				
10	Telecommunications Act of)				
	1996,				
11					
12	Chicago, Illinois				
	January 24, 2013				
13					
	Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 11:33 a.m.,				
14	in Conference Room S-801, 160 North LaSalle Street,				
	Chicago, Illinois.				
15					
16	BEFORE:				
17	Mr. John T. Riley, Administrative Law Judge				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1	APPEARANCES:				
2					
	ROWLAND & MOORE, LLP,				
3	(200 West Superior Street, Suite 400,				
	Chicago, Illinois 60654,				
4	(312) 803-1000), by:				
	MR. THOMAS H. ROWLAND,				
5	tom@telecomreg.com,				
	for Millennium 2000, Inc.;				
6					
	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION,				
7	(160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,				
	Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104,				
8	(312) 793-2877),				
	MR. MICHAEL J. LANNON, and				
9	MS. ANGELIQUE PALMER,				
	mlannon@icc.illinois.gov,				
10	apalmer@icc.illinois.gov,				
	for the Staff.				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1	I N D E X						
2							
	WITNESS	DX	CX	RDX	RCX	By Judge	
3							
	None.						
4							
5		STA	A F F	EXHI	вІТ	S	
6							
	NUMBER	N	MARKED	FOR ID	IN E	EVIDENCE	
7							
	None.						
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
L7							
18							
19							
20							
21							

- JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the
- Illinois Commerce Commission, I call Docket 12-0375.
- This is an application by Millennium 2000, Inc., for
- designation as a wireless eligible telecommunications
- 5 carrier for purposes of receiving federal universal
- service support pursuant to section 214(E)(2) of the
- ⁷ Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 8 Mr. Rowland, you're here on behalf of
- 9 the applicant?
- MR. ROWLAND: Yes, I am. Thank you, your
- Honor. On behalf of Millennium 2000, Inc., Thomas
- Rowland of the law firm of Rowland & Moore, 200 West
- Superior Street, Suite 400, Chicago, Illinois, 60654.
- JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- And for commission staff?
- MS. PALMER: On behalf of staff of the Illinois
- 17 Commerce Commission, Michael Lannon, Angelique
- Palmer, 160 North LaSalle, Suite C-800, Chicago,
- 19 Illinois, 60601.
- JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 21 And I'm going to throw the ball to
- staff again and say where -- what is staff's position

- so far on this?
- MS. PALMER: As of this morning, Judge, we took
- a look at the status of cases. As you know, we have
- a back log. We have also lost one staff member, the
- other one to retirement. We have one individual
- 6 handling all ETC cases right now. Staffing wise, we
- are working on that to get support. However, as of
- this morning, the Millennium case ranks -- there's
- 9 about eight cases ahead of this particular case in
- the -- in the docket that would need to be done based
- on the sequence on which the FCC has approved the
- plans. That's where we're at right now. Keeping
- that in mind, staff is going to work as diligently as
- they can and is able to provide or is looking to
- provide responses to the initial set of DRs in mid
- February. We are setting a date or would like to set
- a date hopefully, Judge, for a status, to keep a rein
- on this matter, either the week of February the 18th
- or the 25th. By then, we hope to have initial DRs
- sent out.
- MR. ROWLAND: Your Honor, we've had a
- discussion in relation to another case earlier about

- the timing of all of this. This particular
- application was filed a year ago -- almost a year
- ago, not quite a year. And it's a -- for wireless
- ⁴ ETC authority. The company has had wireline
- 5 authority for some years and had wireline ETC
- authority for some time. To the extent that staff
- has questions, our concern is there's nothing
- 8 preventing staff from asking questions this week,
- 9 last week -- you know, in two weeks. We just would
- like to get any information that they need, get it
- out on the stable, so that we can respond. We're
- going to respond very, very quickly. So why don't we
- go off the record for a second and -- since my client
- is here -- and we can decide what they may want to
- ¹⁵ do.
- JUDGE RILEY: Is that acceptable with staff?
- MR. LANNON: That's fine.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- Let's go off the record.

20

- 21 (There was a discussion off
- the record.)

- JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- Let's go back on the -- back on the
- ³ record.
- We have had a discussion with regard
- to how we're going to proceed in this matter, and let
- 6 me recap the high points. Staff is going to endeavor
- ⁷ to issue the data requests by February 13 and earlier
- if they possibly can. But logistical problems have
- intervened upstairs, so the 13th would be the date to
- be looked at. Anything else would be a luxury.
- February 27 is targeted for the applicant's
- responses, and we'll try to get them in even earlier,
- if at all possible.
- MR. ROWLAND: That's correct.
- JUDGE RILEY: And then we have decided to
- reconvene on March 12 at 10:00 a.m. for a status, and
- we'll see where we are at that time.
- MR. ROWLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
- MS. PALMER: Thank you, Judge.
- MR. LANNON: Thank you, your Honor.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- We're continued to March 12.

1	(WHEREUPON, the proceedings have
2	been adjourned until, March 12,
3	2013, at the hour of 10:00 a.m.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	